## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 17-2199
WANDA J. BURKS,	
Plaintiff - App	pellant,
v.	
DAVID FIRNSTALH; JOSH	hborhood Development; CLEVE CHAPPELL; HAIGHT; RONALD LEE; NORFOLK ING AUTHORITY, Renovation Department,
Defendants - A	Appellees.
Appeal from the United States D Norfolk. Mark S. Davis, District Ju - Submitted: February 15, 2018	District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at udge. (2:17-cv-00390-MSD-RJK)  Decided: February 16, 2018
Before WILKINSON, FLOYD, and	d THACKER, Circuit Judges.
Affirmed by unpublished per curian	n opinion.
Wanda J. Burks, Appellant Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Wanda J. Burks appeals the district court's order dismissing her civil action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3).\* We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Burks v. Rodger*, No. 2:17-cv-00390-MSD-RJK (E.D. Va. Sept. 13, 2017). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED** 

<sup>\*</sup> Although the district court dismissed the action without prejudice, the district court's order is a final appealable order under *Goode v. Cent. Va. Legal Aid Soc'y, Inc.*, 807 F.3d 619, 623 (4th Cir. 2015), and *Martin v. Duffy*, 858 F.3d 239, 247 (4th Cir. 2017) ("repeated, ineffective attempts at amendment suggest that further amendment of the complaint would be futile").