

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 17-6051

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

ANTIONE BOYCE, a/k/a Dallas,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore.
Catherine C. Blake, Chief District Judge. (1:07-cr-00383-CCB-3)

Submitted: March 30, 2017

Decided: April 4, 2017

Before TRAXLER and WYNN, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Antione Boyce, Appellant Pro Se. Michael Clayton Hanlon, Assistant United States
Attorney, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Antione Boyce appeals from the district court's order denying his 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) (2012) motion for reduction of sentence based on Amendment 782 to the Sentencing Guidelines. Although Amendment 782 to the Guidelines lowered offense levels applicable to drug offenses by two levels and is retroactively applicable, *see* U.S. Sentencing Guidelines Manual § 1B1.10(d), p.s. (2016); USSG app. C, amend. 782, it “does not have the effect of lowering [Boyce’s] applicable guideline range because of the operation of another guideline or statutory provision.” USSG § 1B1.10, p.s., cmt. n.1(A). Accordingly, Boyce was not entitled to a sentence reduction under § 3582(c)(2), and the district court thus did not reversibly err in denying Boyce’s motion. *See* USSG § 1B1.10(a)(2)(B), p.s.; *United States v. Munn*, 595 F.3d 183, 187 (4th Cir. 2010), *abrogation on other grounds recognized in United States v. Muldrow*, 844 F.3d 434, 438-42 (4th Cir. 2016).

Accordingly, we affirm the district court’s denial order. *United States v. Boyce*, No. 1:07-cr-00383-CCB-3 (D. Md. Dec. 13, 2016). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED