## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

1	No. 17-6108
RAYMOND GARCIA, a/k/a Freddie A	andaya,
Plaintiff - Appellant,	
v.	
DEPARTMENT; DETECTIVE K. CHUNITED STATES OF AMERICA	DET. CITY OF CONCORD POLICE HILDERS; DETECTIVE R. GONSALEZ; DETECTIVE KELLY SEAGRAVES; ETECTIVE G. BACOTE; DETECTIVE J. DETECTIVE UVALDO RIOS,
Defendants - Appellees,	
and	
CITY OF CONCORD, NORTH CA CAROLINA; CHIEF GUY SMITH,	ROLINA; ROWAN COUNTY, NORTH
Defendants.	
Appeal from the United States District Greensboro. L. Patrick Auld, Magistra	Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, at te Judge. (1:12-cv-00093-LPA)
Submitted: July 20, 2017	Decided: July 24, 2017
Before DUNCAN and WYNN, Circuit	Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.
Affirmed by unpublished per curiam op	pinion.

\_\_\_\_

Freddie Andaya, Appellant Pro Se. Torin L. Fury, William L. Hill, FRAZIER HILL & FURY, RLLP, Greensboro, North Carolina; Lynne P. Klauer, Assistant United States Attorney, Steven N. Baker, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Greensboro, North Carolina; Kenneth Ray Raynor, RAYNOR LAW FIRM, PLLC, Charlotte, North Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Raymond Garcia appeals the magistrate judge's orders\* entering judgment in favor of Defendants in Garcia's action in which he alleged the use of excessive force during his arrest. The record does not contain a transcript of the jury trial. An appellant has the burden of including in the record on appeal a transcript of all parts of the proceedings material to the issues raised on appeal. Fed. R. App. P. 10(b); 4th Cir. R. 10(c). An appellant proceeding on appeal in forma pauperis is entitled to transcripts at government expense only in certain circumstances. 28 U.S.C. § 753(f) (2012). By failing to produce a transcript or to qualify for the production of a transcript at government expense, Garcia has waived review of the issues on appeal that depend upon the transcript to show error. See generally Fed. R. App. P. 10(b)(2); Keller v. Prince George's Cty., 827 F.2d 952, 954 n.1 (4th Cir. 1987). Moreover, because Garcia's informal brief does not challenge the basis for the magistrate judge's disposition, Garcia has forfeited appellate review of the court's orders. See 4th Cir. R. 34(b) (providing that review is confined to the issues raised in the Appellant's brief.); Williams v. Giant Food Inc., 370 F.3d 423, 430 n.4 (4th Cir. 2004). Accordingly, we affirm the magistrate judge's judgment. We deny Garcia's motion for appointment of counsel, and we dispense with oral argument because the facts

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  The parties consented to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C.  $\S$  636(c) (2012).

and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED**