UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 17-62	150	
OWEN D. LEAVITT,		
Plaintiff - Appellant,		
v.		
NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF WHITENER, Administrator, Alexander Correction his official capacity; FNU DAWKINS, Doccapacity; FNU COFFEY, Chronic Care Nurseapacity; FNU EVENS, Head Nurse, individually and KIRBY, Lead Nurse, individually and MCRAY, Nurse, individually and in his official capacity; FNU Min his official capacity; FNU HONEYCUTT, in his official capacity; FNU BROCK, Official capacity; FNU HARRINGTON, Officer, individually	ctional Institution, individually and ctor, individually and in his official se, individually and in her official dually and in her official capacity; and in her official capacity; FNU al capacity; W. TURNER, Officer, MILLER, Sergeant, individually and Officer in Charge, individually and cer, individually and in his official	
Defendants - Appellees.		
Appeal from the United States District Court for at Statesville. Frank D. Whitney, Chief District		
Submitted: November 29, 2017	Decided: December 19, 2017	
Before WILKINSON, NIEMEYER, and AGEE	, Circuit Judges.	

Affirmed as modified by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Owen D. Leavi	tt, Appellant Pro Se.	

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Owen D. Leavitt appeals the district court's order dismissing his pro se 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) complaint for failure to state a claim, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1) (2012). We review the dismissal order de novo, "applying the same standards as those for reviewing a dismissal under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6)." *De'lonta v. Johnson*, 708 F.3d 520, 524 (4th Cir. 2013). To avoid dismissal for failure to state a claim, "a complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (internal quotation marks omitted).

In conducting this review, we must draw reasonable inferences in favor of the nonmoving party, *Martin v. Duffy*, 858 F.3d 239, 248 (4th Cir. 2017), *petition for cert. filed*, __ U.S.L.W. __ (U.S. Sept. 25, 2017) (No. 17-539), but need not "accept as true allegations that are merely conclusory, unwarranted deductions of fact, [or] unreasonable inferences," *Veney v. Wyche*, 293 F.3d 726, 730 (4th Cir. 2002) (internal quotation marks omitted). "Bare legal conclusions are not entitled to the assumption of truth and are insufficient to state a claim." *King v. Rubenstein*, 825 F.3d 206, 214 (4th Cir. 2016) (internal quotation marks omitted). We also may consider documents attached to the complaint if "they are integral to the complaint and authentic." *Philips v. Pitt Cty. Mem'l Hosp.*, 572 F.3d 176, 180 (4th Cir. 2009); *see Goines v. Valley Cmty. Servs. Bd.*, 822 F.3d 159, 166-68 (4th Cir. 2016).

We have reviewed the record in light of these standards and discern no reversible error in the district court's conclusion that Leavitt failed to state a plausible claim for

relief. However, because Leavitt's claims, liberally construed, are subject to dismissal due to his failure to allege sufficient factual matter to state a plausible claim, we conclude that the district court's dismissal should have been one without prejudice. *See King*, 825 F.3d at 225.

Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment, as modified to reflect that the dismissal is one without prejudice. We deny Leavitt's motions for appointment of counsel and for summary disposition. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED AS MODIFIED