UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 17-6183	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff - Appelle	ee,
v.	
CRAIG OKEIDO ANDERSON, a Hundredgrand, a/k/a Yung Royalty,	/k/a Snap, a/k/a Sir Chill, a/k/a King
Defendant - Appe	llant.
Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. Richard D. Bennett, District Judge. (1:14-cr-00042-RDB-1; 1:16-cv-01468-RDB)	
Submitted: April 25, 2017	Decided: April 28, 2017
Before MOTZ, DUNCAN, and AGEE,	, Circuit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curiam of	opinion.
-	o Se. Paul E. Budlow, Zachary Augustus Myers, ATTORNEY, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellee.
Unpublished opinions are not binding j	precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Craig Okeido Anderson seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Anderson has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED