UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 17-6256	
KANE ANTHONY FOSNAUGHT	Γ,	
Petitioner – Appellant	t ,	
v.		
HAROLD W. CLARKE, Director	of the Virginia Depa	rtment of Corrections,
Respondent - Appelle	e.	
	_	
Appeal from the United States I. Norfolk. Rebecca Beach Smith, C.		9
Submitted: May 23, 2017		Decided: May 26, 2017
Before KING, AGEE, and WYNN	, Circuit Judges.	
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Kane Anthony Fosnaught, Appella General, Richmond, Virginia, for A	· ·	Hyman Katz, Assistant Attorney
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Kane Anthony Fosnaught seeks to appeal the district court's orders accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition and denying reconsideration. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Fosnaught has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED