UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 17-6352	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff - Appellee,	
v.	
ROBERTO MENDOZA, a/k/a Monkey,	
Defendant - Appellant.	
* *	Court for the Western District of North Carolina, District Judge. (3:14-cr-00164-RJC-1; 3:16-cv-
Submitted: June 20, 2017	Decided: June 23, 2017
Before SHEDD, WYNN, and DIAZ, Circ	cuit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curiam op	inion.
Roberto Mendoza, Appellant Pro Se. Attorney, Charlotte, North Carolina, for	Steven R. Kaufman, Assistant United States Appellee.
Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.	

PER CURIAM:

Roberto Mendoza seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Mendoza has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED