UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

| - | | |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | No. 17-6465 | |
| GARY SHORTT, | | |
| Petitioner - Ap | ppellant, | |
| v. | | |
| DIRECTOR, VIRGINIA DEPART | MENT OF CORRE | CTIONS, |
| Respondent - | Appellee. | |
| Appeal from the United States D Roanoke. James P. Jones, District | | |
| Submitted: June 20, 2017 | | Decided: June 23, 2017 |
| Before SHEDD, WYNN, and DIA | Z, Circuit Judges. | |
| Dismissed by unpublished per curis | am opinion. | |
| Gary Shortt, Appellant Pro Se. E GENERAL OF VIRGINIA, Richm | | |
| Unpublished opinions are not bindi | ing precedent in this | circuit. |

PER CURIAM:

Gary Shortt seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as untimely his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition and the court's order denying his Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) motion. The orders are not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Shortt has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED