UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 17-6609	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff - Appellee,	
v.	
FRANCIS CURTIS DAVIS, a/k/a Abdul-Malik	Lbnfrancis As-Salafi,
Defendant - Appellant.	
Appeal from the United States District Court Richmond. John A. Gibney, Jr., District Judge 00795-JAG-RCY)	•
Submitted: September 28, 2017	Decided: October 3, 2017
Before WILKINSON, MOTZ, and KING, Circui	t Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.	
Frances Curtis Davis, Appellant Pro Se. Ange States Attorney, Richmond, Virginia, for Appelle	
Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent is	in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Francis Curtis Davis seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Davis has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED