UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

| · | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| | No. 17-6638 | |
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA | ., | |
| Plaintiff - App | pellee, | |
| v. | | |
| PEDRO CAMACHO-CASTILLO, | , | |
| Defendant - A | ppellant. | |
| | | |
| Appeal from the United States I Florence. R. Bryan Harwell, Distri | | |
| Submitted: November 16, 2017 | | Decided: November 20, 2017 |
| Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, a | and TRAXLER and F | KEENAN, Circuit Judges. |
| Affirmed by unpublished per curia | m opinion. | |
| Pedro Camacho-Castillo, Appellan UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, | | |
| Unpublished opinions are not bind | ing precedent in this | circuit. |

PER CURIAM

Pedro Camacho-Castillo appeals the district court's order denying his 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) (2012) motion for a sentence reduction under Amendment 782 to the Sentencing Guidelines. We affirm.

In this case the district court correctly found that Amendment 782 would not lower Camacho-Castillo's Guidelines range because Camacho-Castillo's Guidelines range was calculated using the 2014 Guidelines, which included the changes to the Drug Quantity Table made by Amendment 782. Moreover, the district court sentenced Camacho-Castillo pursuant to a Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement, and the record makes clear that the sentence was not based on the Guidelines range. *See United States v. May*, 855 F.3d 271, 276–77 (4th Cir. 2017), *cert. denied*, No. 17-142, 2017 WL 3219499 (U.S. Oct. 2, 2017). Accordingly, Camacho-Castillo is not eligible for a sentence reduction under Amendment 782, and we affirm the district court's denial of his § 3582(c)(2) motion. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED