UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 17-6690	
TORIAN GAITHER,		
Petitioner - A	ppellant,	
v.		
DAVID ZOOK, Warden,		
Respondent -	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States I Richmond. M. Hannah Lauck, Dis		9
Submitted: September 14, 2017		Decided: October 25, 2017
Before MOTZ, THACKER, and H	ARRIS, Circuit Judg	ges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Torian Gaither, Appellant Pro S ATTORNEY GENERAL OF VIR		•
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Torian Gaither seeks to appeal the district court's second order accepting the amended recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on Gaither's 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Gaither has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED