

**UNPUBLISHED**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT**

---

**No. 19-1095**

---

HEATHER C. HOFFMAN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

P.J. TANNER, Beaufort County Sheriff; CHIEF DEPUTY MICHAEL HATFIELD; STAFF SERGEANT ERIC CALENDINE; CORPORAL ANDREW CALORE; J. EDWARD ALLEN, Beaufort County Coroner; STEPHANIE SMART-GITTINGS, Circuit Defender, Beaufort County Public Defender's Office; DUFFIE STONE, Fourteenth Circuit Court Solicitor; DR. SUSAN ERIN PRESNELL, MUSC Medical Examiner; MS. CATHERINE E. HEIGEL, Director, SC Department of Health and Environmental Control; JERRI ANN ROSENEAU, Beaufort County Magistrate Court Clerk of Court; AT&T,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Beaufort. Richard Mark Gergel, District Judge. (9:18-cv-01146-RMG-BM)

---

Submitted: April 25, 2019

Decided: April 30, 2019

---

Before FLOYD and QUATTLEBAUM, Circuit Judges, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Heather C. Hoffman, Appellant Pro Se. Mary Bass Lohr, HOWELL, GIBSON & HUGHES, PA, Beaufort, South Carolina; Hervery B.O. Young, SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION ON INDIGENT DEFENSE, Columbia, South Carolina; Ellore Ann Ganes, Barbara Wynne Showers, HOOD LAW FIRM, Charleston, South Carolina; Andrew Richard Hand, WILLOUGHBY & HOEFER, PA, Columbia, South Carolina, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Heather C. Hoffman appeals the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on her civil rights complaint alleging 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) claims and other related constitutional violations. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Hoffman v. Tanner*, No. 9:18-cv-01146-RMG-BM (D.S.C. Dec. 26, 2018). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*AFFIRMED*