## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

| -  |                         |               |                   |
|--|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| _  | No. 19-1747             |               |                   |
| MELVIN P. WHITE, JR.,  |                         |               |                   |
| Plaintiff - App  | pellant,                |               |                   |
| v.   |                         |               |                   |
| UNITED STATES INTERNAL RI  | EVENUE SERVICE,         |               |                   |
| Defendant - A  | ppellee.                |               |                   |
|  |                         |               |                   |
| Appeal from the United States Dist<br>Elizabeth City. Louise W. Flanaga                                    |                         |               |                   |
| Submitted: November 21, 2019   |                         | Decided:      | November 25, 2019 |
| Before KEENAN and DIAZ, Circu  | nit Judges, and SHED    | D, Senior Cir | cuit Judge.       |
| Affirmed by unpublished per curia  | m opinion.              |               |                   |
| Melvin P. White, Jr., Appellant Pro<br>Ciamporcero Avetta, Tax Division<br>Washington, D.C., for Appellee. |                         | _             | •                 |
| Unpublished opinions are not bindi   | ing precedent in this c | eircuit.      |                   |

## PER CURIAM:

Melvin P. White, Jr., appeals the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and dismissing under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) (2012) his complaint in which he sought a refund of income taxes and sought relief pursuant to *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Fed. Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *White v. U.S. Internal Revenue Serv.*, No. 2:19-cv-00009-FL (E.D.N.C. June 7, 2019). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED**