UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 19-6456

ANTONIO MEDRANO ORTIZ,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

GEORGE T. SOLOMON; PAULA SMITH; MONICA BOND; CARLTON JOYNER; DONNIE RAYNOR; DR. METIKO; WILLIAM ELDERDICE; RODNIQUE RIVERS; MATTHEW BAUM; DR. MCKENZIE; NURSE GODDARD; OFFICER OBI; SGT. THAYER,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

MEDICAL ADMINISTRATOR AT CENTRAL PRISON: MEDICAL ADMINISTRATOR AT HARNETT PRISON; MEDICAL ADMINISTRATOR AT CALEDONIA PRISON; MEDICAL ADMINISTRATOR AT WARREN PRISON: MEDICAL ADMINISTRATOR AT TROY PRISON: MEDICAL ADMINISTRATOR AT LANESBORO PRISON; MEDICAL ADMINISTRATOR AT ALEXANDER PRISON: MEDICAL ADMINISTRATOR AT MARION PRISON: DISCIPLINARY HEARING OFFICER AT MARION PRISON: DISCIPLINARY HEARING OFFICER AT CENTRAL PRISON; OFFICER AT DISCIPLINARY HEARING **HARNETT** PRISON: DISCIPLINARY HEARING OFFICER AT CALEDONIA PRISON: DISCIPLINARY HEARING OFFICER AT WARREN PRISON: DISCIPLINARY HEARING OFFICER AT LANESBORO PRISON; DISCIPLINARY HEARING OFFICER AT ALEXANDER PRISON; DIRECTOR OF THE MEDICAL UTILIZATION REVIEW BOARD: DIRECTOR OF DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY: D. DAVIS: JESSICA REID.

Defendants.

Appeal from the United States Dist Raleigh. Louise W. Flanagan, Dist	rict Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at rict Judge. (5:15-ct-03251-FL)
Submitted: September 26, 2019	Decided: September 30, 2019
Before NIEMEYER and KEENA Judge.	N, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit
Affirmed by unpublished per curiar	n opinion.
General, NORTH CAROLINA DE Elizabeth Pharr McCullough, M HENDERSON, PA, Raleigh, No	Pro Se. Orlando Luis Rodriguez, Assistant Attorney EPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Raleigh, North Carolina; adeleine Michelle Pfefferle, YOUNG MOORE & orth Carolina; Carl Moeller Newman, CRANFILL, leigh, North Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Antonio Ortiz appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) complaint. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Ortiz v. Solomon*, No. 5:15-ct-03251-FL (E.D.N.C. Mar. 18, 2019). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED