

UNPUBLISHED

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT**

No. 19-6870

STEVE C. CHADWICK,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

RICHARD J. GRAHAM, JR.,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore.
Richard D. Bennett, District Judge. (1:19-cv-00596-RDB)

Submitted: June 30, 2020

Decided: July 27, 2020

Before WYNN and HARRIS, Circuit Judges, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Steve Carl Chadwick, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Steve Carl Chadwick appeals the district court's order dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2018) complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) (2018).^{*} We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Chadwick v. Graham*, No. 1:19-cv-00596-RDB (D. Md. Mar. 7, 2019). We deny Chadwick's motions to appoint counsel, for leave to file an amended complaint, and for default judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

^{*} Although the district court dismissed the complaint without prejudice, we have jurisdiction over this appeal. *See Bing v. Brivo Sys., LLC*, 959 F.3d 605, 615 (4th Cir. 2020).