UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 19-7320	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	λ,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
JUSTIN TAPP,		
Defendant - A	appellant.	
Appeal from the United States I Alexandria. Anthony John Trenga, AJT)		© .
Submitted: January 21, 2020		Decided: January 24, 2020
Before WILKINSON, KEENAN,	and THACKER, Circ	cuit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Justin Tapp, Appellant Pro Se. D OFFICE OF THE UNITED STAT	•	•
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Justin Tapp seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2018) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2018). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2018). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Tapp has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED