

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 20-6124**

---

DMITRY PRONIN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

LIEUTENANT TROY JOHNSON; OFFICER FLOURNOY; OFFICER  
MIDDLEBROOK; OFFICER WILSON; OFFICER CRAWFORD; KENNETH  
ATKINSON; DANIEL FALLEN; REX BLOCKER; LOUISA  
FUERTES-RASARIO; SANDRA K. LATHROP; JAKE BURKETT; BRANDON  
BURKETT; JOHN BRYANT; PATINA WALTON-GRIER; HENRI WALL;  
EDWARD HAMPTON; WILLIAM JOHNSON; LIEUTENANT EDA  
OLIVERA-NEGRON, Operations,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at  
Orangeburg. David C. Norton, District Judge. (5:12-cv-03416-DCN)

---

Submitted: January 21, 2021

Decided: February 2, 2021

---

Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, and MOTZ and WYNN, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Dmitry Pronin, Appellant Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Dmitry Pronin appeals from the district court's orders granting judgment as a matter of law to Defendant Jake Burkett and entering judgment pursuant to the jury verdict in favor of Defendant Troy Johnson. On appeal, Pronin asserts that the district court erred in denying his motion to reopen evidence in his direct case due to the alleged refusal of his attorneys to seek admission of certain statements by Burkett and his brother. However, attorney error is not a "legitimate justification" for failing to present the evidence sooner, and thus, the district court was "well within its discretion in refusing to consider" Burkett's evidence. *See Cray Comm. v. Novatel Comp. Sys.*, 33 F.3d 390, 395 (4th Cir. 1994) (noting that the argument that a lawyer's mistake imposes an "unjust penalty" on an innocent client is "wholly inconsistent with our system of representative litigation"). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*AFFIRMED*