UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		i	
_	No. 20-6215		
SOLOMON NIMROD BUTLER,			
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,		
v.			
DENNIS DANIELS,			
Respondent - A	Appellee.		
Appeal from the United States Dist Raleigh. James C. Dever III, Distri			f North Carolina, at
Submitted: August 20, 2020		Decided:	September 8, 2020
Before NIEMEYER, FLOYD, and	HARRIS, Circuit Ju	dges.	
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.		
Solomon Nimrod Butler, Appellant	Pro Se.		
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this	circuit.	

PER CURIAM:

Solomon Nimrod Butler seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Butler has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We deny Butler's motions to expedite and for summary disposition as moot. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED