UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
	No. 20-6861	
ELDER PRESCOTT LEACH,		
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,	
v.		
WARDEN, LIEBER CORRECTIO	ONAL INSTITUTIO	N,
Respondent - A	Appellee.	
-		
Appeal from the United States Distr J. Michelle Childs, District Judge.		
Submitted: October 29, 2020		Decided: November 9, 2020
Before WYNN, KEENAN, and RIG	CHARDSON, Circui	it Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Elder Prescott Leach, Appellant Pre-	o Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Elder Prescott Leach seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on Leach's 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Leach has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED