## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

|                                       | No. 20-6966                 |                       |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| FELICIA R. GLASS,                     |                             |                       |
| Plaintiff - Appel                     | lant,                       |                       |
| V.                                    |                             |                       |
| CLAUDETTE HANKERSON,                  |                             |                       |
| Defendant - App                       | pellee,                     |                       |
| and                                   |                             |                       |
| NCCIW; MS. ALSOP; JIHAN BRO           | WN; MR. RUSSO,              |                       |
| Defendants.                           |                             |                       |
| Appeal from the United States Distric |                             |                       |
| Submitted: May 26, 2021               |                             | Decided: June 3, 2021 |
| Before MOTZ, WYNN, and FLOYD          | , Circuit Judges.           |                       |
| Affirmed by unpublished per curiam    | opinion.                    |                       |
| Felicia R. Glass, Appellant Pro Se.   |                             |                       |
| Unpublished opinions are not binding  | g precedent in this circuit |                       |

## PER CURIAM:

Felicia R. Glass appeals the district court's order granting Defendant Hankerson's motion for summary judgment and dismissing Glass' 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action for failure to exhaust administrative remedies. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Glass v. Hankerson*, No. 5:17-ct-03127-BO (E.D.N.C. May 7, 2020). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED**