## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

| _  | No. 20-6983           |                             |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| BRADLEY GERALD MULLINS,  |                       |                             |
| Petitioner - Ap  | ppellant,             |                             |
| v.   |                       |                             |
| SOUTH CAROLINA ATTORNE CORRECTIONAL CENTER,                              | Y GENERAL; WAR        | RDEN, KEEN MOUNTAIN         |
| Respondents -  | Appellees.            |                             |
| Appeal from the United States Dis<br>Hill. Sherri A. Lydon, District Jud |                       |                             |
| Submitted: November 17, 2020   |                       | Decided: November 20, 2020  |
| Before MOTZ and KEENAN, Circ   | uit Judges, and TRA   | XLER, Senior Circuit Judge. |
| Dismissed by unpublished per curis                                       | am opinion.           |                             |
| Bradley Gerald Mullins, Appellant  | Pro Se.               |                             |
| Unpublished opinions are not bindi                                       | ing precedent in this | circuit.                    |

## PER CURIAM:

Bradley Gerald Mullins seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on Mullin's 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Mullins has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**