## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
_	No. 20-6995	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
TREMAYNE A. LYNCH, a/k/a Pa	aco,	
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
-		
Appeal from the United States Dist Raleigh. James C. Dever III, Distri		
Submitted: November 20, 2020		Decided: December 3, 2020
Before AGEE, HARRIS, and QUA	TTLEBAUM, Circu	nit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Tremayne A. Lynch, Appellant Pro	Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Tremayne A. Lynch seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Lynch has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We deny Lynch's motion to seal all documents in the record. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**