## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

_		
_	No. 22-6287	
ANTONIO DIEARGO PATTERSO	ON,	
Petitioner - Ap	pellant,	
v.		
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA;	SHERIFF KRISTIN	GRAZIANO,
Respondents -	Appellees.	
Appeal from the United States I Anderson. Richard Mark Gergel, I		
Submitted: September 28, 2023		Decided: October 2, 2023
Before NIEMEYER, THACKER, a	and RUSHING, Circ	uit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Antonio Dieargo Patterson, Appella	ant Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Antonio Dieargo Patterson seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and dismissing without prejudice Patterson's 28 U.S.C. § 2241 petition, in which Patterson sought release from his confinement pursuant to a state civil commitment petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. See Buck v. Davis, 580 U.S. 100, 115-17 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. Gonzalez v. Thaler, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Patterson has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED