## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
_	No. 22-6744	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
Plaintiff - App	ellee,	
V.		
LANCE D. YOUNG,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
-		
Appeal from the United States Dist. Wheeling. John Preston Bailey, Di		•
Submitted: September 28, 2023		Decided: November 13, 2023
Before THACKER and BENJAM Judge.	IIN, Circuit Judges,	, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit
Affirmed by unpublished per curiar	n opinion.	
Lance D. Young, Appellant Pro Se.		
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Lance D. Young appeals the district court's order denying his motion for compassionate release under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error.\* Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order. *United States v. Young*, No. 5:05-cr-00063-JPB-JPM-1 (N.D.W. Va. June 17, 2022). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED** 

<sup>\*</sup>We limit our review to issues raised in the informal brief. See 4th Cir. R. 34(b); Jackson v. Lightsey, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014). On appeal, Young contends that he no longer has the requisite number of qualifying predicates to be classified as a career offender, and the district court did not adequately consider the argument. However, as the district court recognized, we previously found otherwise. See In re Young, No. 16-882 (4th Cir. June 6, 2016) (order denying motion). His argument is based on his claim that he was sentenced as a career offender based on a conviction for simple possession of marijuana with a statutory maximum of less than one year; but it was based on his felony convictions for possession with intent to distribute a controlled dangerous substance, two counts of possession with intent to distribute a controlled dangerous substance within 1,000 feet of a school, and reckless manslaughter. Even assuming the manslaughter conviction no longer qualifies, he has not shown that he does not have the requisite career offender predicates. Moreover, even without the enhancement on Count 1 under 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(b)(1)(B), 851, his statutory maximum remains life on his 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1)(A)(i) conviction; and his Guidelines range remains 360 months to life pursuant to USSG § 4B1.1(c)(3).