## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 23-1964	
MARY E. ANDERSON,		
Plaintiff - App	pellant,	
v.		
FIRST CITIZENS BANK,		
Defendant - A	ppellee.	
Appeal from the United States I Columbia. Mary G. Lewis, Distric		
Submitted: December 19, 2023		Decided: December 21, 2023
Before HARRIS, QUATTLEBAU	M, and BENJAMIN,	Circuit Judges.
Affirmed by unpublished per curia	m opinion.	
Mary E. Anderson, Appellant Pre Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appel		kley, FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP,
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Mary Anderson appeals the district court's order dismissing her civil action. The district court referred this case to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). The magistrate judge recommended that relief be denied and advised Anderson that failure to file timely, specific objections to this recommendation could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the recommendation.

The timely filing of specific objections to a magistrate judge's recommendation is necessary to preserve appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when the parties have been warned of the consequences of noncompliance. *Martin v. Duffy*, 858 F.3d 239, 245 (4th Cir. 2017); *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 846-47 (4th Cir. 1985); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 154-55 (1985). Anderson has waived appellate review by failing to file objections to the magistrate judge's recommendation after receiving proper notice. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the district court. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED**