

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 23-2279**

---

In re: STARSHA M. SEWELL,

Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore.  
James K. Bredar, Chief District Judge. (1:18-mc-00114)

---

Submitted: April 18, 2024

Decided: April 19, 2024

---

Before WILKINSON, NIEMEYER, and THACKER, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Starsha M. Sewell, Appellant Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Starsha M. Sewell appeals the district court's order returning certain pleadings to her pursuant to a previously imposed prefiling injunction.\* On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the informal brief. *See* 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Sewell's informal brief does not challenge the district court's rationale, she has forfeited appellate review of the court's order. *See Jackson v. Lightsey*, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014) ("The informal brief is an important document; under Fourth Circuit rules, our review is limited to issues preserved in that brief."). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*AFFIRMED*

---

\* To the extent that Sewell contests the prefiling injunction, we note that this court previously affirmed that order. *See In re Sewell*, 732 F. App'x 221 (4th Cir. 2018) (No. 18-1298).