UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

_	No. 23-6111	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
Plaintiff - App	ellee,	
V.		
SYDNEY ANDREA CRANDON,	a/k/a Brittany Banks	5,
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
-		
Appeal from the United States Dist Raleigh. Terrence W. Boyle, Distr		
Submitted: November 17, 2023		Decided: December 4, 2023
Before AGEE, HARRIS, and QUA	TTLEBAUM, Circu	it Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Sydney Andrea Crandon, Appellan	t Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Sydney Andrea Crandon seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing her 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion as untimely. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 580 U.S. 100, 115-17 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Crandon has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny her motions for a certificate of appealability and to appoint counsel and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED