UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 23-6276	
RICHARD LEE HEFNER, a/k/a R	licky L. Hefner,	
Petitioner - A _l	opellant,	
V.		
STATE OF NORTH CAROLIN PUBLIC SAFETY,	A; NORTH CARO	LINA DEPARTMENT OF
Respondents -	Appellees.	
Appeal from the United States Dist Asheville. Martin K. Reidinger, C		
Submitted: October 31, 2023		Decided: November 3, 2023
Before HARRIS and QUATTLEF Judge.	BAUM, Circuit Judg	es, and KEENAN, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Ricky L. Hefner, Appellant Pro Se		
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Ricky L. Hefner seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition and denying reconsideration. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. See Buck v. Davis, 580 U.S. 100, 115-17 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. Gonzalez v. Thaler, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Hefner has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED