## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

|  | No. 23-6374           |                                |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| LARRY JAMES TYLER, a/k/a La  | arry James Tyler, #35 | 4459,                          |
| Plaintiff - App  | pellant,              |                                |
| V.   |                       |                                |
| JAMES HUDSON, Sheriff; DIAN  | N WILKS,              |                                |
| Defendants - A   | Appellees.            |                                |
|  |                       |                                |
| Appeal from the United States Dist. Mary G. Lewis, District Judge. (9: |                       | · ·                            |
| Submitted: August 24, 2023   |                       | Decided: August 29, 2023       |
| Before QUATTLEBAUM and HI Judge.                                       | EYTENS, Circuit Ju    | dges, and MOTZ, Senior Circuit |
| Dismissed by unpublished per curi                                      | am opinion.           |                                |
| Larry James Tyler, Appellant Pro<br>RICHARDSON PLOWDEN &<br>Appellees. |                       |                                |
|  |                       |                                |

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Larry James Tyler seeks to appeal the magistrate judge's order denying Tyler's motion for appointment of an independent medical expert in his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292; Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); *Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp.*, 337 U.S. 541, 545-46 (1949). The order Tyler seeks to appeal is neither a final order nor an appealable interlocutory or collateral order. Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**