UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 23-6705	
CHRISTOPHER ALAN BEAN,		
Petitioner - Ap	opellant,	
V.		
CHADWICK DOTSON,		
Respondent -	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States E Richmond. Roderick Charles Your		_
Submitted: October 20, 2023		Decided: November 16, 2023
Before GREGORY and BENJAMI	N, Circuit Judges, a	nd MOTZ, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curis	am opinion.	
Christopher Alan Bean, Appellant OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY OAppellee.	Pro Se. Leah Ann D GENERAL OF VIR	earron, Assistant Attorney General, RGINIA, Richmond, Virginia, for
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Christopher Alan Bean seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as untimely his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. *See Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 148 & n.9 (2012) (explaining that § 2254 petitions are subject to one-year statute of limitations, running from latest of four commencement dates enumerated in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1)). The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When, as here, the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez*, 565 U.S. at 140-41 (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Bean has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED