## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

| <del>-</del>  |                      |                           |
|---|----------------------|---------------------------|
|   | No. 23-6895          |                           |
| ANDRE TAYSON BOONE,   |                      |                           |
| Petitioner - Ap   | pellant,             |                           |
| v.  |                      |                           |
| BRYAN STIRLING,   |                      |                           |
| Respondent - A  | Appellee.            |                           |
| Appeal from the United States Dist<br>Joseph F. Anderson, Jr., Senior Dis |                      |                           |
| Submitted: January 30, 2024   |                      | Decided: February 6, 2024 |
| Before KING, AGEE, and THACK  | ER, Circuit Judges.  |                           |
| Dismissed by unpublished per curia  | am opinion.          |                           |
| Andre Tayson Boone, Appellant Pr  | o Se.                |                           |
| Unpublished opinions are not bindi  | ng precedent in this | circuit.                  |

## PER CURIAM:

Andre Boone seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on Boone's 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction because the notice of appeal was not timely filed.

In civil cases, parties have 30 days after the entry of the district court's final judgment or order to note an appeal, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), unless the district court extends the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5) or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6). "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement." *Bowles v. Russell*, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007).

The district court entered its order on July 31, 2023. Boone filed the notice of appeal on August 31, 2023.\* Because Boone failed to file a timely notice of appeal or to obtain an extension or reopening of the appeal period, we dismiss the appeal.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED** 

<sup>\*</sup> For the purpose of this appeal, we assume that the date appearing on the notice of appeal is the earliest date Boone could have delivered the notice to prison officials for mailing to the court. Fed. R. App. P. 4(c)(1); *Houston v. Lack*, 487 U.S. 266, 276 (1988).