## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
-	No. 23-6968	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
VICTOR LEBRON, a/k/a Victor L	abron,	
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
-		
Appeal from the United States Dis Stone Gap. James P. Jones, Senio 81510-JPJ)		<u> </u>
Submitted: December 19, 2023		Decided: December 27, 2023
Before HARRIS, QUATTLEBAU	M, and BENJAMIN,	Circuit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Victor Lebron, Appellant Pro Se. Attorney, OFFICE OF THE UNIT Appellee.		

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Victor Lebron seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists could find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. *See Buck v. Davis*, 580 U.S. 100, 115-17 (2017). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (citing *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Lebron has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED