UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 23-	-7084
BILAL A. AL-HAQQ,	
Plaintiff - Appellant,	
v.	
KENNETH L. JAMES, PREA; EYVONNE CANNING, Associate Warden; ELAINE CORPORAL LAPOINT, Officer at Trent COURTNEY, Lieutenant; JAMES WILLIA Mail Room Supervisor; JOSHUA MCKIE, BYRNE, Doctor; ANN SHEPPARD, Assowarden,	E FREEMAN, Associate Warden; on Correctional Institution; MARK MS, Lieutenant; KAREN MEALER, Administrative Captain; THOMAS
Defendants - Appellees.	
Appeal from the United States District Co Charleston. Donald C. Coggins, Jr., District J	•
Submitted: January 30, 2024	Decided: February 6, 2024
Before KING, AGEE, and THACKER, Circu	it Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion	1.

Bilal A. Al-Haqq, Appellant Pro Se. Norma Anne Turner Jett, NESS & JETT, LLC,

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Bamberg, South Carolina, for Appellees.

PER CURIAM:

Bilal A. Al-Haqq seeks to appeal the district court's order adopting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and granting summary judgment to Defendants in his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil action. Appellees move to dismiss the appeal. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction because the notice of appeal was not timely filed.

In civil cases, parties have 30 days after the entry of the district court's final judgment or order to note an appeal, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), unless the district court extends the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5) or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6). "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement." *Bowles v. Russell*, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007).

The district court entered its order on November 22, 2022. Al-Haqq filed the notice of appeal on October 13, 2023.* Because Al-Haqq failed to file a timely notice of appeal or to obtain an extension or reopening of the appeal period, we grant Appellees' motion and dismiss the appeal.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

^{*} For the purpose of this appeal, we assume that the date appearing on the notice of appeal is the earliest date Al-Haqq could have delivered the notice to prison officials for mailing to the court. Fed. R. App. P. 4(c)(1); *Houston v. Lack*, 487 U.S. 266, 276 (1988).