## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 13-41373 Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

**FILED** 

September 18, 2014

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

LORENZO PEREZ-VASQUEZ,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 1:13-CR-323-1

\_\_\_\_

Before PRADO, OWEN, and GRAVES, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:\*

The attorney appointed to represent Lorenzo Perez-Vasquez has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Perez-Vasquez has filed a response. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Perez-Vasquez's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel; we therefore decline to consider the claim

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 13-41373

without prejudice to collateral review. See United States v. Isgar, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014), petition for cert. filed (June 4, 2014) (No. 13-10484).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Perez-Vasquez's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, Perez-Vasquez's motion for remand is DENIED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.