## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 16-41430 Summary Calendar United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

> **FILED** July 10, 2017

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

ANTONIO ORTEGA-MENDEZ,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 1:16-CR-538-1

Before HIGGINBOTHAM, PRADO, and HAYNES, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:\*

The Federal Public Defender appointed to represent Antonio Ortega-Mendez has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Ortega-Mendez has filed a response. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Ortega-Mendez's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel; we therefore decline to

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

## No. 16-41430

consider the claim without prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Ortega-Mendez's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.