# IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT 

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit<br>\section*{FILED}<br>November 21, 2019<br>Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

## v.

GILBERT MARTINEZ, also known as Chuco,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas<br>USDC No. 6:18-CR-22-1

Before STEWART, DENNIS, and HO, Circuit Judges.

## PER CURIAM:*

The attorney appointed to represent Gilbert Martinez has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with Anders $v$. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and United States v. Flores, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Martinez has filed responses. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Martinez's claims of ineffective assistance of

[^0]counsel; we therefore decline to consider the claims without prejudice to collateral review. See United States v. Isgar, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014). We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Martinez's responses. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. See 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. Martinez's motion to appoint new counsel is DENIED. See United States v. Wagner, 158 F.3d 901, 902-03 (5th Cir. 1998).


[^0]:    * Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5 TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

