

United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

November 16, 2021

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

No. 21-10365
Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

DON CARNEL JACKSON,

Defendant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Texas
USDC No. 1:20-CR-23-2

Before DAVIS, JONES, and ELROD, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:*

The attorney appointed to represent Don Carnel Jackson has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Jackson has filed a response. The record is not sufficiently

* Pursuant to 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5.4.

No. 21-10365

developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Jackson's claims of ineffective assistance of counsel or his claim that his guilty plea was coerced; we therefore decline to consider the claims without prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014); *United States v. Corbett*, 742 F.2d 173, 175-78 (5th Cir. 1984).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Jackson's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.