

the Affidavit was deceptive because it did not prove the facts averred therein and the affiant did not have personal knowledge of those facts.

The district court granted summary judgment to Asset on the FDCPA claims. The court held that the least sophisticated consumer would not have been misled or deceived by the Statement or Affidavit, and there was no evidence in the record that any of the information in those documents was false. After the court disposed of the federal claims, it declined to exercise jurisdiction over the state law claims and dismissed them without prejudice. Myers timely appealed.

After carefully reviewing the district court's opinion, the briefs, and the record in this case, we conclude that the district court did not err in granting summary judgment to Asset. As the district court correctly set out the applicable law and correctly applied that law to the undisputed material facts contained in the record, issuance of a full written opinion by the court would serve no jurisprudential purpose.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated in the district court's well-reasoned opinion, we **AFFIRM** the judgment of the district court.