

NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FULL-TEXT PUBLICATION

File Name: 17a0421n.06

Case No. 16-2314

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**

FILED
Jul 20, 2017
DEBORAH S. HUNT, Clerk

DANIEL MCCAWE,)	
)	
Plaintiff-Appellant,)	ON APPEAL FROM THE
)	UNITED STATES DISTRICT
v.)	COURT FOR THE EASTERN
)	DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF WATERFORD,)	
et al.,)	MEMORANDUM
)	OPINION
Defendants-Appellees.)	

BEFORE: SUHRHEINRICH, GILMAN, and McKEAGUE, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM. Plaintiff-appellant Daniel McCaw is the former Chief of Police in Waterford Township. In March 2015, his employment was terminated when the Township’s Police and Fire Pension Board, upon application of the Waterford Township Board of Trustees, voted to retire him under provisions of state law because he had reached the age of 60 years. McCaw commenced this action against the Township and its Trustees, asserting several claims under federal and state law. The district court issued a 30-page opinion in August 2016, awarding summary judgment in favor of defendants and disposing of all claims.

In this appeal, McCaw challenges only the court’s ruling on his claim that the manner of his termination violated his right to procedural due process. We review the summary judgment ruling de novo and, having duly considered McCaw’s appellate arguments, we find that they

merely rehash arguments fully and properly disposed of by the district court. The district court's opinion represents a well-reasoned and proper application of the law to the record facts. McCaw's arguments fail to identify any error. Concluding that our opinion explicitly addressing his arguments would be entirely duplicative, we hereby **AFFIRM** the judgment of the district court based on the analysis set forth in its opinion.