NONPRECEDENTIAL DISPOSITION

To be cited only in accordance with Fed. R. App. P. 32.1

United States Court of Appeals

For the Seventh Circuit Chicago, Illinois 60604

Submitted January 21, 2010* Decided January 21, 2010

Before

JOHN L. COFFEY, Circuit Judge

JOEL M. FLAUM, Circuit Judge

MICHAEL S. KANNE, Circuit Judge

No. 08-3049

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

v.

No. 07-CR-329

DONTA PHELON DAVIS,

Defendant-Appellant.

Rudolph T. Randa, *Judge*.

ORDER

Donta Phelon Davis appeals from his conviction and 105-month sentence for being a felon in possession of a firearm. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). Davis contends that the district court improperly classified his prior conviction under Wisconsin law for second-degree reckless homicide, *see* WIS. STAT. § 940.06(1), as a crime of violence under U.S.S.G. § 4B1.2(a). *See id.* § 2K2.1(a)(2). The government concedes error and agrees that in light of this court's

^{*}After examining the briefs and the record, we have concluded that oral argument is unnecessary. Thus, the appeal is submitted on the briefs and the record. *See* FED. R. APP. P. 34(a)(2).

No. 08-3049 Page 2

decision in *United States v. Woods*, 576 F.3d 400 (7th Cir. 2009), the correct disposition is to vacate and remand for resentencing.

In *Woods*, 576 F.3d at 412-13, we held that an offense in which the mental state is recklessness does not meet the standards for crimes of violence established by the Supreme Court in *Begay v. United States*, 128 S.Ct. 1581, 1586 (2008). Second-degree reckless homicide, in violation of WIS. STAT. § 940.06(1), is therefore not a crime of violence under § 2K2.1(a)(2). *See United States v. High*, 576 F.3d 429, 430-31 (7th Cir. 2009). Davis did not object at sentencing to the classification of his reckless-homicide conviction, but in light of *Begay* and our recent post-*Begay* precedent, the district court's classification of this offense was plain error. *See United States v. Gear*, 577 F.3d 810, 812 (7th Cir. 2009); *High*, 576 F.3d at 431.

We therefore VACATE Davis's sentence and REMAND for further proceedings in light of *Begay* and *Woods*.