

**NONPRECEDENTIAL DISPOSITION**

To be cited only in accordance with

Fed. R. App. P. 32.1

**United States Court of Appeals**

For the Seventh Circuit

Chicago, Illinois 60604

Submitted June 10, 2014\*

Decided June 12, 2014

**Before**

DIANE P. WOOD, *Chief Judge*

WILLIAM J. BAUER, *Circuit Judge*

FRANK H. EASTERBROOK, *Circuit Judge*

No. 14-1313

LOCK REALTY CORPORATION IX,  
*Plaintiff-Appellant,*

*v.*

AMERICARE LIVING CENTERS III, LLC, *et al.*,  
*Defendants-Appellees.*

Appeal from the United  
States District Court for the  
Northern District of Indiana,  
South Bend Division.

No. 3:13cv994  
William C. Lee, *Judge.*

**Order**

Last year we issued an opinion that began: “These appeals represent the end of the line for a long-running dispute over a nursing-home lease between Lock Realty Corporation IX (the lessor) and U.S. Health (the lessee) and Americare (the lessee’s assignee).” *Lock Realty Corp. IX v. U.S. Health, L.P.*, 707 F.3d 764, 766 (7th Cir. 2013). Lock Realty did not see things that way. It promptly filed another suit concerning the same lease. The suit was removed to federal court and dismissed as barred by claim

---

\* This successive appeal has been submitted to the original panel under Operating Procedure 6(b). After examining the briefs and the record, we have concluded that oral argument is unnecessary. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a); Cir. R. 34(f).

preclusion (res judicata). 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 4329 (N.D. Ind. Jan. 13, 2014). Lock Realty has appealed.

It is enough to repeat what we said last year: Our decision *was* “the end” for this dispute, and Lock Realty should have left well enough alone. For substantially the reasons given by the district court, the judgment is affirmed.