## **United States Court of Appeals FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

\_\_\_\_\_

	No. 05-2	1163
United States of America,	*	
Appellee,	*	Appeal from the United States
v.	*	District Court for the District of Nebraska.
James L. Anderson,	*	[UNPUBLISHED]
Appellant.	*	
Submitted: September 18, 2006		

Submitted: September 18, 2006 Filed: September 25, 2006

\_\_\_\_

Before RILEY, COLLOTON, and GRUENDER, Circuit Judges.

\_\_\_\_

## PER CURIAM.

James L. Anderson appeals the sentence that the district court<sup>1</sup> imposed after he pleaded guilty to two counts of robbing a federally insured bank, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2113(a), and one count of brandishing a firearm during one of the robberies, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1)(A)(ii). On appeal, counsel has moved to withdraw and has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), in which he argues that the sentence imposed is too harsh, and that Anderson should

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Richard G. Kopf, United States District Judge for the District of Nebraska.

have received a sentence reduction in light of his role in the bank robberies as an aider and abettor.

Anderson may not, however, challenge his sentence as too severe, because the district court sentenced him consistent with the parties' plea agreement. See United States v. Nguyen, 46 F.3d 781, 783 (8th Cir. 1995) ("A defendant who explicitly and voluntarily exposes himself to a specific sentence may not challenge that punishment on appeal."). Further, we have carefully reviewed the record in accordance with Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and have found no nonfrivolous issues.

Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment, and we grant counsel leave to withdraw. We also deny Anderson's motions for appointment of new counsel.

\_\_\_\_\_