## United States Court of Appeals FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

	No. 08-2389	
United States of America,	*	
	*	
Appellee,	*	
	*	Appeal from the United States
V.	*	District Court for the
	*	District of Nebraska.
Juan C. Polanco,	*	
	*	[UNPUBLISHED]
Appellant.	*	

Submitted: December 18, 2009 Filed: January 14, 2010

Before MURPHY, COLLOTON, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Juan Polanco appeals the mandatory minimum sentence the district court<sup>1</sup> imposed after he pleaded guilty to distributing at least 50 grams of a methamphetamine mixture, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) and (b)(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2. His counsel has moved to withdraw, and has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 378 (1967), arguing that Polanco's sentence is unreasonable, and that the government should have filed a motion to reduce his sentence based on substantial assistance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Lyle E. Strom, United States District Judge for the District of Nebraska.

We find no merit in Polanco's argument that the government should have filed a substantial-assistance motion. <u>See United States v. Perez</u>, 526 F.3d 1135, 1138 (8th Cir. 2008) (grounds on which district court may review government's refusal to make substantial-assistance motion). Because the court lacked discretion to impose a sentence below the statutory minimum, we also find no merit in Polanco's argument that the sentence is unreasonable. <u>See United States v. Chacon</u>, 330 F.3d 1065, 1066 (8th Cir. 2003) (only authority for court to depart below statutory minimum sentence is in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(e) and (f), which apply only when government makes motion for substantial assistance or when defendant qualifies under safety-valve provision); <u>United States v. Gregg</u>, 451 F.3d 930, 937 (8th Cir. 2006) (<u>United States v. Booker</u>, 543 U.S. 220 (2005), "does not relate to statutorily-imposed sentences").

After reviewing the record independently under <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no non-frivolous issues. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment, and we grant counsel's motion to withdraw, subject to counsel informing appellant about procedures for seeking rehearing and filing a petition for certiorari.