United States Court of AppealsFOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

	No. 09-3474
United States of America,	*
Appellee, v.	 * Appeal from the United States * District Court for the Western * District of Missouri.
Sira Noithip,	* [UNPUBLISHED] *
Appellant.	*
	Submitted: May 21, 2010 Filed: May 26, 2010

Before MELLOY, BOWMAN, and SMITH, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Sira Noithip appeals from the sentence imposed by the District Court¹ after he pleaded guilty to receiving and possessing child pornography, 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(2) and (a)(4)(B). Counsel has moved to withdraw and has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing that the court's sentence is unreasonable.

Having carefully reviewed the record, we conclude that the District Court did not abuse its discretion. <u>See United States v. Feemster</u>, 572 F.3d 455, 461 (8th Cir.

¹The Honorable Richard E. Dorr, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.

2009) (en banc) (standard of review). The court did not commit any procedural error, see <u>United States v. Toothman</u>, 543 F.3d 967, 970 (8th Cir. 2008) (describing factors that demonstrate procedural error), and its carefully explained sentence at the bottom of the applicable range was not unreasonable, see <u>United States v. Sicaros-Quintero</u>, 557 F.3d 579, 583 (8th Cir. 2009) (according presumption of reasonableness to sentence at bottom of Guidelines range); <u>United States v. Watson</u>, 480 F.3d 1175, 1177 (8th Cir.) (listing circumstances where sentencing court abuses its discretion resulting in unreasonable sentence), <u>cert. denied</u>, 552 U.S. 927 (2007).

Further, having reviewed the record independently under <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), we have found no nonfrivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we affirm, and we grant counsel's motion to withdraw.

-2-