## **United States Court of Appeals** FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

	No. 09-3526
Ruthie Mae Stewart,	*  * Anneal from the United States
Appellant, v.	<ul> <li>* Appeal from the United States</li> <li>* District Court for the</li> <li>* Northern District of Iowa.</li> <li>*</li> </ul>
General Mills Operations, LLC,	* [UNPUBLISHED] *
Appellee.	*
Submitted: May 3, 2010 Filed: May 21, 2010	

Before LOKEN, BYE, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.

## PER CURIAM.

Ruthie Mae Stewart appeals the district court's dismissal of her action in which she alleged that General Mills violated the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993. After careful de novo review, see Banks v. Int'l Union Elec., Elec., Technical, Salaried & Mach. Workers, 390 F.3d 1049, 1052 (8th Cir. 2004), we conclude that dismissal of the suit on federal-law res judicata grounds was proper, see Taylor v. Sturgell, 128 S. Ct. 2161, 2171 (2008) (preclusive effect of federal-court judgment in federal-question case is determined by federal common law); Rutherford v. Kessel,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Linda R. Reade, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Northern District of Iowa.

560 F.3d 874, 877 (8th Cir. 2009) (res judicata precludes second suit if "its claims arise out of the same nucleus of operative facts as the prior claim"). Accordingly, we affirm. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.