## United States Court of Appeals FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No. 10-3654		
Texarkana Behavioral Associates, L.C	2., *	
Appellant,	* *	
ripponunt,	*	Appeal from the United States
V.	*	District Court for the Western District of Arkansas.
Universal Health Services, Inc.,	*	Western District of Arkansas.
Appellee.	* *	[UNPUBLISHED]
11		

Submitted: September 22, 2011 Filed: October 6, 2011

Before MELLOY, SMITH, and BENTON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

In this diversity-based action, Texarkana Behavioral Associates, L.C. (TBA) appeals from the district court's<sup>1</sup> adverse grant of summary judgment on its claims under Arkansas law against Universal Health Services, Inc. for misappropriation of trade secrets, breach of contract, and tortious interference with a contractual relationship. TBA also appeals the district court's denial of TBA's motion to compel discovery. After de novo review of the summary judgment decision, *Mayer v. Countrywide Home Loans*, 647 F.3d 789, 791 (8th Cir. 2011), we affirm for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Harry F. Barnes, United States District Judge for the Western District of Arkansas.

reasons discussed in the district court's order, *Texarkana Behavioral Associates, L.C. v. Universal Health Services, Inc.*, 748 F. Supp. 2d 1008 (W.D. Ark. 2010). *See* 8th Cir. R. 47B. Additionally, for the reasons discussed in the district court's order, *see id.*, we hold that the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying TBA's motion to compel discovery. *See Kilpatrick v. King*, 499 F.3d 759, 766 (8th Cir. 2007) ("We review the denial of a motion to compel discovery for gross abuse of discretion.").