United States Court of Appeals

For the Fighth Circuit

•	y or the Cignin Circuit
	No. 12-3400
	Tommie Dawson
	Plaintiff - Appellant
	V.
W	Vells Fargo Corporation
	Defendant - Appellee
11	om United States District Court trict of Minnesota - Minneapolis
	Ibmitted: March 7, 2013 Filed: March 13, 2013 [Unpublished]
Before MURPHY, SMITH, and	d COLLOTON, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM.	
	s the district court's ¹ dismissal of his civil complaint de that the dismissal was proper. Dawson's Title VII

¹The Honorable Michael J. Davis, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the District of Minnesota.

claim was untimely, and there was no basis for tolling the time limits. <u>See</u> 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) (civil action may be brought within 90 days of right-to-sue notice). Dawson also failed to state a fraud claim, because he did not allege that *he* acted in reliance on any false representation. <u>See Northstar Indus., Inc. v. Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc.</u>, 576 F.3d 827, 832 (8th Cir. 2009) (to establish fraud under Minnesota law, plaintiff must show, inter alia, that false representation by party caused other *party* to act in reliance thereon). Accordingly, we affirm. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.