## United States Court of Appeals For the Eighth Circuit

No. 15-1610	

Olga Martinez-Canales

Petitioner

v.

Loretta E. Lynch, Attorney General of the United States

Respondent

Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

\_\_\_\_\_

Submitted: February 18, 2016 Filed: February 23, 2016 [Unpublished]

\_\_\_\_\_

Before WOLLMAN, ARNOLD, and SMITH, Circuit Judges.

\_\_\_\_\_

PER CURIAM.

Salvadoran citizen Olga Martinez-Canales petitions for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals, which dismissed her appeal from the decision of an immigration judge denying asylum and withholding of removal. In her supporting brief, Martinez-Canales raises an ineffective-assistance-of-counsel claim, but this administratively unexhausted claim is not properly before us. <u>See Ateka v. Ashcroft</u>, 384 F.3d 954, 957 (8th Cir. 2004) (exhaustion of administrative remedies).

As for the asylum and withholding-of-removal claims, we conclude that substantial evidence on the record as a whole supports the finding that Martinez-Canales failed to show past persecution in El Salvador, or a well-founded fear of future persecution there, due to one of the five protected grounds: race, religion, nationality, membership in a particular social group, or political opinion. See De Castro-Gutierrez v. Holder, 713 F.3d 375, 379-81 (8th Cir. 2013). Accordingly, we deny the petition for review. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

\_\_\_\_\_