

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 16-4390

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Francisco Pec-Son

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Northern District of Iowa, Waterloo

Submitted: July 6, 2017

Filed: July 14, 2017

[Unpublished]

Before LOKEN, ARNOLD, and MURPHY, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Francisco Pec-Son appeals following imposition of sentence upon his guilty plea to unlawful use of identification documents and misuse of a social security number. Counsel has moved to withdraw, and in a brief filed under Anders v.

California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), argues that the district court¹ committed plain procedural error by failing to explain adequately the reasons for the sentence that the court imposed on Pec-Son. After careful review, we conclude that no plain procedural error occurred. See United States v. Chavarria-Ortiz, 828 F.3d 668, 670-71 (8th Cir. 2016) (if defendant fails to object to adequacy of district court's explanation for sentence, this court reviews for plain error); United States v. Krzyzaniak, 702 F.3d 1082, 1085 (8th Cir. 2013) (explanation is sufficient if record as a whole demonstrates that court considered relevant factors). Further, having independently reviewed the record pursuant to Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no nonfrivolous issues.

The judgment is affirmed, and we grant counsel's motion to withdraw.

¹The Honorable Linda R. Reade, United States District Judge for the Northern District of Iowa.