

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 16-4461

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Ronda L. Easton

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Western District of Missouri - Springfield

Submitted: August 31, 2017

Filed: September 6, 2017

[Unpublished]

Before LOKEN, GRUENDER, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Ronda Easton directly appeals after she pleaded guilty to drug, firearm, and money-laundering charges, pursuant to a plea agreement that contained an appeal

waiver, and the district court¹ imposed a below-Guidelines-range prison term. Her counsel has moved for leave to withdraw, and has filed a brief under Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing that the sentence is substantively unreasonable.

We conclude that the appeal waiver is valid, applicable, and enforceable. See United States v. Scott, 627 F.3d 702, 704 (8th Cir. 2010) (de novo review of validity and applicability of appeal waiver); United States v. Andis, 333 F.3d 886, 890-92 (8th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (discussing enforcement of appeal waivers). Furthermore, we have independently reviewed the record under Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and have found no non-frivolous issues for appeal outside the scope of the appeal waiver. Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion, and we dismiss this appeal.

¹The Honorable M. Douglas Harpool, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.